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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Obesity is now the most important nutritional disease in the Western world. And in even the poorest countries it is increasing at an alarming rate. For the first time in human history, the number of overweight people worldwide rivals the number of those who are underweight.

Obesity should not be dismissed as a mere cosmetic or moral concern. It is strongly linked to a number of chronic diseases, including heart disease, stroke, cancer, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes.

With globalisation, people in low and middle-income countries are increasingly adopting Western dietary practices and a more sedentary lifestyle. Their diets are changing from one rich in grains, potatoes and other vegetables and legumes with a modest fat content, to a diet high in total energy, fats, salt and sugar. More and more meat, cheese, butter and other rich milk products and alcohol are being consumed at the cost of lower calorie carbohydrate-rich foods. This so-called ‘nutrition transition’ has led to both soaring obesity rates and chronic diseases, which blight and cut short the lives of people in low, middle and high-income countries alike. But in poorer countries these diseases tend to strike people at a younger age, leading them to suffer for longer and die sooner than those in the rich West.

The rising prevalence of obesity in children is of particular concern. Because of their excessive weight, an escalating number of children are experiencing health problems previously seen only in those of older years. High blood pressure, raised cholesterol levels and type 2 diabetes are accompanying the towering levels of childhood overweight and obesity. Some predictions foresee that today’s generation of children will die before their parents.

However, one group stands out among the general Western population. This group enjoys remarkably good health, exemplified by low rates of obesity, diabetes, heart disease and cancer, and an increased life expectancy. Obesity is much less common among vegetarians than it is amongst meat eaters. People who are vegetarian or vegan are slimmer than comparable meat eaters. Most overweight people shed pounds when they change to a vegetarian diet. Most importantly, losing weight this way is consistent with long-term health.

The solution to the reducing prevalence of obesity on a global scale is clear. It shares the same foundations which minimise the risk of chronic disease. Reverting to our roots of a whole foods, plant-based diet rich in the grains, vegetables and legumes which, ironically, are increasingly fed to animals, combined with moderate physical activity can be the keys to both weight maintenance and improved health.

This is of course a long-term lifestyle change, rather than another quick-fix fad.

THE GLOBAL INCIDENCE

The global incidence of obesity is soaring. More than one billion people in the world are now overweight and at least 300 million of them are clinically obese, according to the World Health Organisation (WHO). Overweight and obesity are now even prevalent in some of the poorest countries of the world where they affect 15 to 35 per cent of the adult population—paradoxically, often co-existing with undernutrition. For the first time in human history, the number of overweight people worldwide rivals the number of those who are underweight. By WHO predictions, obesity is expected to emerge as a more serious world problem than malnutrition by 2025.

Obesity is particularly rife in the USA, where almost one-third (31 per cent) of adults are now affected. Europe seems to be following this trend, but it is about 10 years behind. This means that in 10 years, rates of obesity in Europe are expected to reach the levels currently seen in North America.

Although it lags considerably behind the USA at present, the UK already has one of the worst rates of obesity in Europe. England ranked sixth and Scotland eighth in a study of obesity levels in 29 European countries. Almost two-thirds of the English population is either overweight or obese, and it is showing one of the fastest accelerations in obesity. Obesity has almost quadrupled in the last 25 years, and if the present trend continues obesity will soon overtake smoking as the leading cause of premature death.

The increasing global prevalence of obesity in children is of particular concern. About 22 million children aged under five are overweight: in England, overweight or obesity affects over one-quarter of under 11s. Studies have shown that obesity in childhood and adolescence can persist into adulthood where its health risks are more severe. Obese children have double the chance of becoming obese adults. Obesity in childhood is associated with a higher chance of premature death and disability in adulthood. Some predictions foresee that today’s generation of children will have a reduced life expectancy. This would be the first plummet in longevity seen in England for over a century.

DEFINITIONS

Assessing weight in adults

The term ‘obesity’ is derived from the Latin ob, meaning ‘on account of’, and esse, meaning ‘having eaten’. It is most commonly assessed by the body mass index (BMI). BMI is calculated by dividing a person’s weight in kilograms (kg) twice by their height in metres (m). Whether a person is defined as underweight, normal weight, overweight or obese depends on which range their BMI falls into (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The normal weight range is taken as 18.5–24.9 kg/m². The World Health Organisation recommends that adults maintain a BMI within this range and avoid weight gain of more than 5 kg (11 lb).

People with a BMI of below 18.5 kg/m² tend to be classed as underweight, while a BMI of over 25 kg/m² is defined as overweight, and a BMI of over 30 kg/m² as obese. This ‘normal’ range of BMI was primarily calculated using North American mortality data, and so the cut-offs are different for Asian populations. At a given BMI, Asian Indians have seven to 10 per cent higher body fat; accordingly, a BMI of below 23 kg/m² is termed optimum; BMI 23 to 25 kg/m² overweight, and over 25 kg/m² obese in Asian Indians.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Risk of co-morbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>Low for the non-communicable diseases associated with obesity, but increased mortality due to cancer and other infectious diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>18.5-24.9</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mildly increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>25.0-29.9</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>30.0-34.9</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III (morbid)</td>
<td>&gt;40.0</td>
<td>Very severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Strictly speaking, however, obesity is not defined as an excess of body weight, but an excess of body fat – to a point that

Although there are several relatively easy assessment methods available allowing obesity to be identified easily, some patients who are mildly or moderately overweight appear to be overlooked by health care professionals.

Recent studies have shown that about one-quarter of overweight patients were thought to be of normal weight by doctors in primary care. Opportunities for the treatment and diagnosis of this life-threatening condition are clearly being missed.

**THE COST OF OBESITY**

Overweight and obesity can open the gateway to many health problems. These can be non-fatal but debilitating, such as difficulties with physical activity, sexual problems (both psychological and physical), infertility, birth complications, incontinence, respiratory difficulties, heat intolerance, increased sweating and skin problems.

Low self-esteem, self-leathing and phobias are common in overweight people, who are frequent targets of discrimination, even in the health sector.

The more life-threatening problems are of four main types: cardiovascular; conditions associated with insulin resistance such as type 2 diabetes; cancers, especially those which are hormonally related or affect the large-bowel; and gallbladder disease. Figure 3 and Figure 4 contain a more comprehensive list of overweight and obesity-related health problems in both adults and children.

---

**Assessing weight in children**

A different method is used to assess the weight of children. Classifying overweight and obesity in children and adolescents is complicated by their continually changing height and body composition. The International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) uses the BMI ‘z score’ to determine whether or not a child is on course for being an overweight or obese adult (see [APPENDIX 1]). Calculated using international data, their system is based on whether or not a child is likely to reach the BMI cut-off points of 25 and 30 kg/m² in adulthood.

**Assessing body composition**

Strictly speaking, however, obesity is not defined as an excess of body weight, but an excess of body fat – to a point that seriously endangers health. Weight gain itself is not the only problem: both body shape and the way that fat is distributed in the body affect the risk of developing certain diseases. The highest risk is seen in people who tend to gain weight around the middle. This characteristic is called abdominal obesity (AO). AO is associated with metabolic syndrome and cancers of many sites, including breast, colon and the kidney (see [HOW OBESITY AFFECTS ADULTS, page 9]).

Although the BMI measurement does correlate closely with excess body fat (adiposity), it does not assess a person’s precise level of fat, lean tissue (such as muscle) or water, nor does it identify whether the fat is accumulated in particular sites such as the abdomen where it has more serious consequences as outlined above. A person who was particularly heavy boned or muscular, for example, would have a lower percentage body fat for a given BMI. Thus someone like this might fall into an inaccurately high BMI range, yet be at low risk of the non-communicable diseases associated with obesity.

However, the waist-hip ratio, which is simply a person’s waist circumference divided by their hip circumference, is one measure which does enable body fat distribution to be assessed. A waist-hip ratio of over one for men and of over 0.8 for women indicates an increased risk of diabetes, cardiovascular complications and related death.

An easy and valid measure of AO is simple waist circumference. A waist circumference of 102 centimetres (cm) or more for men, and 88 cm or above for women is defined as AO. Again, the optimum waist circumference is lower in Asan Indians than Whites with a cut-off of below 90 cm for men and 80 cm for women. A recent study aimed to derive cut-off levels of clothing size corresponding with increased heath risks. It concluded that men’s trouser size equal to or larger than 38 in the UK, and women’s dress size of 18 or above was associated with increased risk of heart disease, hypertension (high blood pressure) and type 2 diabetes (see [HOW OBESITY AFFECTS ADULTS, page 9]).

Although there are several relatively easy assessment methods available allowing obesity to be identified easily, some patients who are mildly or moderately overweight appear to be overlooked by health care professionals.

Recent studies have shown that about one-quarter of overweight patients were thought to be of normal weight by doctors in primary care. Opportunities for the treatment and diagnosis of this life-threatening condition are clearly being missed.

**Metabolic**

- Hyperinsulinism (excessive secretion of insulin, a hormone that regulates carbohydrate metabolism), hyperglycaemia (raised blood glucose levels)
- Insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes mellitus
- Dyslipidaemia (a disruption in the amount of lipids (fat) in the blood)
- Hyperuricaemia (high levels of uric acid in the blood), gout
- Syndrome X (a combination of medical disorders including type 2 diabetes mellitus, insulin resistance, high blood pressure and abdominal obesity)

**Cardiovascular**

- Hypertension (high blood pressure – a risk factor for coronary heart disease, stroke and kidney disease)
- Left ventricular hypertrophy (abnormal thickening of heart muscles), congestive heart failure
- Arrhythmias (irregular heart beats), sudden death
- Carebrovascular disease (damage to the blood vessels in the brain), stroke
- Endothelial dysfunction (impaired function of cells lining blood vessels)
- Low-grade chronic inflammation
- Increased sympathetic activity

**Haematological**

- Impaired fibrinolysis (impaired breakdown of blood clots)
- Procoagulant state (blood stimulated to form clots)
- Hyperviscosity (increased blood ‘thickness’)
- Atherothrombosis (the partial or complete blocking of blood vessels), thrombophlebitis (vein inflammation related to a blood clot)

**Endocrine**

- Hirsutism (increased hair growth in areas where it is normally minimal/absent)
- Elevated adrenocortical activity
- Disturbances in circulating sex steroids and binding globulins
- Infertility
- Polycystic ovary syndrome (multiple cysts in the ovaries)
- Breast cancer

---

**Figure 2. Assessment of overweight and obesity in White adults according to body mass index (BMI)**

**Figure 3. Adult health problems associated with overweight and obesity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic</td>
<td>Hyperinsulinism (excessive secretion of insulin, a hormone that regulates carbohydrate metabolism), hyperglycaemia (raised blood glucose levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologic</td>
<td>Hypertension (high blood pressure – a risk factor for coronary heart disease, stroke and kidney disease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematological</td>
<td>Impaired fibrinolysis (impaired breakdown of blood clots)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td>Hirsutism (increased hair growth in areas where it is normally minimal/absent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Globesity**

Orthopaedic Slipped capital epiphyses (a type of bone fracture)

Pulmonary Sleep apnoea (a sleep disorder in which the child has irregular breathing at night and is excessively sleepy)

Organ System Obesity-related disorders

**Gastrointestinal**
- Hiatus hernia (the protrusion of the upper part of the stomach into the thorax through a tear or weakness in the diaphragm)
- Gastrooesophageal reflux
- Gallstone formation, gallbladder hypertrophy and stasis (risk factors for gallstone formation)
- Gallbladder carcinoma
- Steatosis (abnormally large quantities of fat within cells), cirrhosis
- Colorectal cancer

**Respiratory**
- Restrictive ventilatory pattern
- Shortness of breath in exercise and/or at rest
- Obesity hypoventilation syndrome (impaired breathing)
- Obstructive sleep apnoea (a sleep disorder with irregular breathing at night and excessive sleepiness during the day)

**Renal**
- Proteinuria, albuminuria (an excess of blood proteins in the urine)
- Enhanced sodium retention
- Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system stimulation (stimulation of the hormone system that helps regulate blood pressure)
- Disturbed Na/K ATPase activity, Na/K co-transport (disrupted salt balance)

**Genitourinary**
- Incontinence
- Prostate/endometrial/ovarian cancer

**Locomotor**
- Nerve entrapment
- Low back pain, joint damage
- Osteoarthritis (inflammation of the joints)

**Dermatological**
- Increased sweating
- Opponational intertrigo (skin disorder found in creases of neck, the skin folds of the groin, armpits or breasts or between the toes)
- Wound dehiscence (wound re-opening)
- Lymphoedema (swelling that occurs when lymph fluid does not fully drain away from the tissues)
- Acanthosis nigricans (brown/black velvety hyperpigmentation of the skin)

**Cardiovascular**
- Hypertension (high blood pressure)
- Dyslipidaemia (a disruption in the amount of lipids (fat) in the blood)
- Fatty streaks
- Left ventricular hypertrophy (abnormal thickening of heart muscle)

**Endocrine**
- Insulin resistance/impaired glucose tolerance
- Type 2 diabetes
- Menstrual abnormalities
- Polycystic ovary syndrome (multiple cysts in the ovaries)
- Hypercorticism

**Other**
- Systemic inflammation/raised C-reactive protein (a marker of inflammation in the blood)

**Weight trends to increase with age and the health risks increase with the length of time at an excessive weight.**

A BMI of 40 kg/m² is associated with a decreased life expectancy of around 10 years. Being obese from the age of 40 has been observed to reduce life expectancy by about seven years – comparable with the impact of smoking 20 cigarettes a day.

At least 2.6 million worldwide deaths each year are a result of overweight or obesity and the estimated economic costs of obesity and overweight are a conservative £6.6-7.4 billion per year, accounting for more than five per cent of all health costs.

### HOW OBESITY AFFECTS ADULTS

**Atherosclerosis, heart disease and stroke**

Obesity, particularly AO, is associated with a significantly increased risk of atherosclerosis – the build-up of lipids (fat, including cholesterol and triglycerides) and other cells, such as blood cells, on the artery wall. Such ‘plaques’ can restrict the blood supply to organs and tissues. They may also rupture, causing the organs to which they supply blood to die. If atherosclerosis affects the arteries supplying the heart muscle then chest pains (angina) or heart disease can result. When a similar disease process affects the blood supply to the brain, the result is a stroke.

The risk of developing heart disease is at least doubled, and risk of stroke is six times greater with a large waist measurement. This is because obesity and abdominal fat are linked to high levels of harmful (LDL) cholesterol, low levels of protective (HDL) cholesterol and high triglycerides. Obese people and those with abdominal fat also tend to have more blood clotting proteins (fibrinogen) in their blood. Less indication of damaged blood vessel repair (fibrinolytic activity) is also a common finding in obese individuals.

As well as losing weight, a range of dietary patterns appears to influence the development of heart disease. Certain harmful types of fat can elevate cholesterol levels. These are saturated fat components called myristic acid and palmitic acid which are found in animal fats such as butter and in coconut and palm oils (see *Are all fats created equal?*, page 20). A third saturated fat component called lauric acid has a similar, albeit lesser, effect.

### Are all fats created equal?

Trans fats, found in deep-fried fast foods, baked goods and, in low natural levels, in dairy products, lamb and beef fat are, gram for gram, associated with an even higher risk of heart disease than saturated fat – the risk is anything from two-and-a-half to tenfold higher. No safe limits of trans fat consumption have been shown.

While some dietary components are harmful, certain foods can be heart protective. These include high intakes of raw or appropriately prepared fruit and vegetables which contain antioxidants: notably beta-carotene, vitamin E and vitamin C, and substances known as flavonoids (found especially in berries). LDL cholesterol is particularly damaging to arteries when it is oxidised. LDL oxidation can happen when naturally occurring, unstable molecules called free radicals are encountered. The antioxidants and flavonoids found in fruit and vegetables help to destroy such free radicals.
The consumption of wholegrain cereal is linked to lower risk of hypertension, heart disease, stroke, and deaths from cardiovascular disease. White Lies report.337 Breast cancer.

Hypertension

The danger of developing raised blood pressure (hypertension) increases by up to six times with obesity.17 Blood pressure tends to rise with both increasing waistlines and with the degree of obesity. The cause of this rise in blood pressure seems to be insulin resistance and excess insulin in the blood (hyperinsulinaemia). Insulin resistance is further discussed below (see Metabolic syndrome, below). Insulin stimulates salt (sodium) re-absorption in the kidneys, rather than promoting its passage out of the body into the urine, which can cause the blood pressure to rise.17

Weight loss has been shown to lower blood pressure and lessen the need for blood-pressure lowering (anti-hypertensive) drugs in clinical trials. Even a small weight loss can markedly reduce blood pressure, and weight loss is a much more effective treatment than salt restriction.

Aside from weight loss, increasing potassium intake and reducing salt intake is a common strategy for reducing blood pressure. Fruits and vegetables are rich in potassium and their liberal intake is recommended for the prevention and treatment of hypertension.199

Numerous studies have shown vegetarians to have lower blood pressure – with up to half of the risk of hypertension compared with meat eaters.199, 203, 204 The introduction of meat to the diet of vegetarians has been found to increase blood pressure by 10 per cent in as little as two weeks.244

Metabolic syndrome (Insulin resistance syndrome)

The metabolic diseases of obesity, insulin resistance/diabetes (reduced sensitivity to the action of insulin), hypertension, raised LDL cholesterol, triglycerides and reduced HDL cholesterol, and abdominal obesity appear in a cluster, with two or more of them being present in the same person.205 The term ‘metabolic syndrome’ is used to describe these diseases when they occur concurrently like this. They share the common factor of insulin resistance.

The more severe form of insulin resistance is type 2 diabetes. Although people with type 2 diabetes can make insulin – a hormone which lowers blood sugar levels – their cells are insensitive to its effects. Insulin helps the transport of glucose (sugar) across cell membranes. If cells lose their sensitivity to insulin, the result is that glucose (sugar) cannot enter the cells which need it for energy, and the individual may feel incredible hunger, despite having high blood sugar levels.

Insulin has other metabolic roles. For example, it stimulates fat cells to make fats from fatty acids, and it stimulates the liver and muscle to make protein from amino acids. This leads to less fatty acids and amino acids in the blood. Complications of diabetes include heart disease and stroke, blindness, kidney disease, nervous system disorders, dental disease and limb amputation.246

Approximately 90 per cent of people with type 2 diabetes are overweight or obese247 although exactly how overweight and obesity contribute to the metabolic syndrome is unclear.199 Hormones and other substances secreted by the body’s fat stores are thought to be the main cause.249

Treatment for metabolic syndrome is usually weight loss and physical activity250 whereas diabetes itself can be treated with a high-carbohydrate vegetarian diet. People who already follow this type of diet have just under half (45 per cent) the chance of developing the disease. However, people who eat meat six or more times per week have an almost fourfold chance of developing diabetes.262

The American Dietetic Association states that diabetes is much less likely to lead to death in vegetarians compared with meat eaters, and ascribes this to the higher intake of complex carbohydrates and lower weight amongst vegetarians.218, 219 A plant-based diet can also eliminate or even reduce a diabetic’s need to medicate and it reduces the chance of nerve and eye (retina) damage.263

Cancer

Obesity and cancer are strongly linked: Raised BMI increases the risk of the oesophagus, colon, kidney, gallbladder, breast, cervix, endometrium and prostate.199, 200 A body weight excess of over 40 per cent leads to a 50 to 100 per cent higher risk of cancer compared to maintaining a normal weight.264

Several mechanisms have been proposed to try and explain these findings. The increased risk for oesophageal cancer may be related to reflux of the stomach (gastric) contents back into the oesophagus.265 The mechanisms by which obesity increases colon and kidney cancers are less well understood. Cancers of the breast and endometrium are almost certainly related to sex hormones.266 Fat (adipose) tissue is a major source of production of the female hormone oestrogen among post-menopausal women. Obese women have higher blood levels of oestrogens than women of normal weight.267 High blood levels of oestrogens stimulate the growth and division of the cells in these female tissues.268

Aside from losing weight, certain dietary factors can predispose or protect against cancer. The World Health Organisation lists these as saturated fat, which has a role in the development of breast, prostate, colon and rectum cancer; fruit and vegetables (which contain certain antioxidant vitamins, minerals and compounds such as flavonoids) which offer protection from oral cavity, oesophagus, stomach, bladder, colon, rectum, lung and cervix cancers; and high calorie and milk and beef fat intake are linked to deaths from breast cancer. Certain other milk components have been linked to cancers of the breast, bowel, ovaries and prostate (for a full discussion see the VVF’s White Lies report).17 Breast cancer risk seems to be reduced by certain components in plants, such as isoflavones derived from soya beans and lignans derived from wholegrain products.268 Lycopeone, the red pigment in tomatoes, may significantly reduce prostate cancer risk.199

Both the American Dietetic Association and the British Medical Association have found that vegetarians are less likely to develop certain cancers. The Oxford Vegetarian Study in 1994 concluded that vegetarians have a 40 per cent less chance of dying from cancer compared with meat eaters.270 Other studies have shown the risk to be reduced by between 25 and 50 per cent.251, 252

Many studies have found that eating more fruit and vegetables contributes to vegetarians’ better chances but doesn’t fully account for it, which indicates that there might be something in meat which acts as a cancer trigger.271, 272 Indeed, potent cancer forming compounds (carcinogens) called heterocyclic amines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons have been found in grilled and barbecued meat and fish.199 Nitrates used in cured and smoked meat forms can combine with the nitrites used to cure our bodies, too.273 Researchers in the US looked at the carcinogens formed in cooking and found beef burgers produce 44 times more carcinogens than soya-based burgers. Bacon came top of the stakes, producing 346 times more.
develop in childhood emphasises the importance of preventative dietary and lifestyle measures in early life.\textsuperscript{119}

However, the most widespread consequences of childhood obesity are psychological and social. Obese children often become targets of discrimination. Studies have shown that 10 to 11-year-old boys and girls would prefer to be friends with children with a wide variety of disabilities in preference to their overweight peers.\textsuperscript{44} Additionally, children ranging from six to 10 years of age already associate obesity with a variety of negative characteristics such as laziness and slopiness.\textsuperscript{45}

Although overweight young children do not have a negative self-image or low self-esteem\textsuperscript{46} obese adolescents develop a negative self-conception that appears to last in adulthood.\textsuperscript{47}

A proposed explanation for this apparent discrepancy between children and adolescents is that self-image in young children comes from parental messages but as children become adolescents, self-image develops increasingly from society.

Swedish studies have shown obesity to be associated with parental neglect, bullying and neglected children being at a much greater risk of obesity in adulthood than averagely groomed children.\textsuperscript{70} A link has also been demonstrated with overweight peers.\textsuperscript{65} Additionally, children ranging from six to 10 years of age already associate obesity with a variety of natural substances that can improve both the carbohydrate and lipid abnormalities in diabetes.\textsuperscript{321}

There are two main ways that a person can lose weight.

1. The biggest study on vegans to date\textsuperscript{86} compared over 1,000 vegans and vegetarians. The meat eaters, on average, were significantly heavier than the vegans. Even after controlling for exercise, any physical activity. Given modern environmental factors, the International Association for the Study of Obesity considers that 30 minutes of moderate daily exercise may be insufficient for many people to prevent unhealthful weight gain. Additional exercise is recommended for those who find that this level of daily activity does not prevent weight gain.\textsuperscript{102}

Certain people may have a family predisposition to be overweight, which can make the challenge more difficult. An especially rigorous diet and exercise regime is important in these cases. Says Professor Campbell, “In rural China, we noticed that obesity increased amongst meat eaters and people who had been on the diet for six months, and their new diets were self-selected vegetarian. The researchers concluded that the findings of their study suggest that significant dietary changes, helping people to conform more closely to current dietary recommendations, occurred when people became vegetarian.”\textsuperscript{342}

In her book \textit{Eating Thin for Life}\textsuperscript{87} dietetic Anne Fletcher looked into the habits of a few hundred successful ‘dieters’ – people who had not only lost over some four-and-a-half stones on average but also maintained their weight loss for an average of 11 years. When she asked the dieters to describe their eating habits, the top responses were ‘low fat’, followed by ‘eating less meat’. The dieters also complained that they ate ‘more fruit and vegetables’. One scientific study\textsuperscript{88} which tested the effects of an increased intake of fruit on weight loss found that a

\[\text{vegetarian diet} + \text{fruit} \rightarrow \text{weight loss}\]

\[\text{vegetarian diet} + \text{fruit} \rightarrow \text{weight gain}\]
significant weight loss could be sparked by adding three apples or pears to a person’s daily diet. This effect was thought to decrease calorie intake by promoting feelings of fullness, without the fruit adding extra calories to the diet. Similarly, a Harvard Study of 75,000 women over a decade suggests that the more fruits and vegetables that women eat, the less likely they are to become obese. A scientific review conducted in 2004 suggested that in general increasing fruit and vegetable intake may be an important strategy for weight loss.

A recent study conducted by Dr Neal Barnard and colleagues from the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine showed that low-fat vegan diets lead to significant weight loss, without requiring dieters to restrict calories, portion sizes or carbohydrates, or even to exercise. 64 overweight women were randomly assigned to either a low-fat vegan diet or to a more conventional low-fat comparison diet based on the guidelines of the US National Cholesterol Education Programme. As exercise can cause weight loss, the women were asked not to make any changes to their exercise patterns during the trial.

The control group lost just over half-a-pound per week, whereas the vegan group lost about one pound per week, whereas the vegan group lost about one pound per week, although “At first glance, browns and other quickly fad food children eat, rather than the amount of food they eat. He encouraged shifting the entire family away from oily fried foods, meats and dairy products and toward low-fat, plant-based foods – grains, pasta, vegetables, legumes and fruit.

The solution to the reduction in the prevalence of obesity on a global scale is clear. It shares the same foundations which minimise the risk of chronic disease. Reverting to our roots of a whole foods, plant-based diet rich in the grains, vegetables and legumes which, ironically, are increasingly fed to animals, combined with moderate physical activity can be the keys to both weight maintenance and improved health.

The vegan group also showed a 16 per cent increase in its after-meal calorie burning speed (referred to as the thermic effect of food). This appears to be due to the vegan diet having improved insulin sensitivity, causing people’s cells to be able to pull glucose out of the bloodstream much more quickly.

The researchers comment that, although “At first glance, a vegan diet sounds like a challenge… research participants rate the attractiveness of the vegan approach very similarly to that of other therapeutic diets. And while typical diets demand cutting calories and leave the dieter with nothing to eat, a low-fat vegan approach provides plenty of choices to make up for whatever is missing. Hunger is not part of the equation.”

In its Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health, the World Health Organisation also advocates that the following healthy behaviours are promoted to “encourage, motivate and enable individuals to lose weight”: eating more fruit and vegetables, as well as nuts and whole grains; engaging in daily moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes;

CAUSATION

As summarised by the House of Commons Select Committee on Health in its Third Report of Session: “At its simplest level, obesity is caused when people overeat in relation to their energy needs.”

Overeating is of course a relative term. It describes taking in an inappropriately large amount of energy compared to how much energy a person expands. Energy needs do of course vary from person to person. While an energy intake of 3,000 kilocalories (kcal or ‘calories’) per day might be insufficient for an athlete undergoing training, it would be serious overeating for a petite office worker.

Overeating in the short-term, in the form of feasts and celebrations, is a common human ritual. In traditional societies where, because of extreme seasonality, fasting and feasting are a means of survival, overeating does no harm, and it may even do much good by topping up depleted body fat stores. It is when overeating persists over any length of time that it threatens health. Long-term overeating leads to excess body fat storage and to overweight and obesity.

There are two distinct types of overeating: active and passive. Active overeating can be brought on by many factors. These include a drive to carry on eating in spite of having satisfied your natural appetite; a defect in appetite regulation (as seen in many of the rare inherited forms of human obesity); an inappropriate psychological response to stress; or a disorder in the area in the brain which recognises feelings of fullness.

Passive overeating is a separate phenomenon. It refers to passively taking in more food energy (calories) than the body can burn off. Two factors contribute to this: the energy-dense modern diet, and reduced levels of physical activity associated with modern sedentary living.

ACTIVE OVEREATING

Active overeating in humans can occur for cultural reasons among populations in which fatness is esteemed. In urban Gambia for example, there is a clear gender difference in the prevalence of obesity between middle-aged women (over 35 per cent) and men (less than two per cent). Active eating here reflects a cultural desire for fatter women who are considered more affluent and more attractive.

In Western societies, however, active overeating is generally driven by marketing. For example, ‘meal-deals’ in well-known fast-food outlets provide well in excess of a teenage girl’s entire daily energy and fat needs. These meals are extremely cheap and are marketed at the poorer sections of society. They are most likely implicated in the social class gradient of obesity.

Overeating can often become addictive. This sometimes starts as a response to life stresses and it can lead to bulimia nervosa, binge eating disorder and night eating disorder.

Although these eating disorders have escalated in the past few decades, they are unlikely to contribute significantly to the global epidemic.

There are also rare inherited causes of human obesity. In these cases, the signals that make a person stop eating when full (satiety signals) work inadequately. This leads to an insatiable appetite and hence overeating. In rare cases, physical injury to the area in the brain which recognises feelings of fullness can also bring about gross obesity.

PASSIVE OVEREATING

Food is constantly accessible to most people in developed countries and many suppliers endeavour to make their products as tempting and as easy to consume as possible. Cheap, energy-dense foods, which are highly calorific without being correspondingly filling, are widely available and greatly promoted by manufacturers. Simultaneously, there has been a transition towards sedentary lifestyles over recent decades. Before the technological revolution, people typically walked at least five to 10 miles a day, accounting for an additional 500 to 1,000 kcal of energy expenditure. In our modern society, roughly two-thirds of men and three-quarters of women fail to meet the Department of Health’s physical activity target of 30 minutes five times per week.

We are clearly living in a very different environment to that which we are adapted – one in which it is easy to consume more calories than we need.

Many prescription drugs also stimulate appetite and cause great weight gain. Tobacco smoking suppresses the appetite and the nicotine it contains stimulates the nervous system. Fifteen to 20 cigarettes a day can increase daily energy requirements by 10 per cent. Cigarette smoking habits seem to influence fat distribution patterns, although the exact mechanisms underlying this have not yet been elucidated. Smokers tend to be slimmer than non-smokers, but have more abdominal fat distribution, which is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease and diabetes. The average weight gain after stopping smoking is three kilograms for men and four kilograms for women over a 10-year period. Although the health consequences of weight gain are secondary compared to the benefits of giving up smoking, weight increase is the most frequent reason for taking up smoking again.

Fifty per cent of overweight women cite pregnancy as the main cause of their obesity. A typical British woman gains around 12.5 kg (just under two stones) in weight during a typical pregnancy. First-time pregnant, non-smoking, hypertensive and already overweight women are at special risk of excessive weight gain. For severely overweight women (over 60 per cent overweight), restricting calories during pregnancy can be safe, as long as greater attention is placed on the quality of the diet.

In summary, there are numerous causes of active overeating which can lead to severe ill health. However, evidence suggests that passive overeating has a greater effect on the weight of the nation than active overeating does.
**CHANGES IN DIET COMPOSITION**

**Fat**

The incidence of obesity in the UK grew slowly from about 1920 and started to increase more significantly after the Second World War. While this trend is not driven by diet alone, changes in diet play a key part. The diet has changed during the time from one rich in complex carbohydrates (ie, grains, wholesome bread, potatoes and other root vegetables, legumes and other vegetables) with a modest fat content, to the modern diet. The national intake of meat, cheese, butter and other rich milk products and of alcohol has risen at the cost of lower calorie carbohydrate-rich foods. The fat content of the diet has shown a significant increase.

Fat is very energy dense, containing more than twice as many calories, weight-for-weight (nine kcal per gram) as protein or carbohydrate (four kcal per gram). Not only is it the most calorie dense of the macronutrients, but, along with alcohol, it is also one of the least filling (satiating). This means that in order to feel full, a larger amount of a fatty, carbohydrate-deficient diet must be eaten compared to a low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet.

There is a consensus across international agencies such as the World Health Organisation and national governments such as that in the UK that most people in developed countries eat too much fat for good health. Fat, especially animal saturated fat, increases the risk of heart disease, diabetes and some cancers (see HOW OBESITY AFFECTS ADULTS, page 9).

As the high calorie density of high fat foods increases the chances of eating too many calories (see Energy density and satiety, page 24), choosing a low fat diet is not only beneficial to health but is also a practical step to reduce the risk of weight gain.

Figure 5 summarises the main sources of fat in the human diet. Any food that contains any of these products as an ingredient will also contain fat. Given the significant contribution of meat to the fat content of the UK diet, in 1976 the Royal College of Physicians/British Cardiac Society issued a report on diet and heart disease, recommending that more poultry be eaten in place of red meat because it contained less fat. This advice seems to have been taken on board: the National Food Survey shows a huge rise in the consumption of chicken, which was rarely consumed 50 years ago and has now become the most common form of dietary protein (see Figure 6).

However, the competition to produce inexpensive meat, eggs and dairy products has led to changes in the way that farmed animals are reared. The worldwide trend is the replacement of small family farms with factory farms where animals are reared intensively. In the UK, 95 per cent of chickens, 99 per cent of ducks, over 90 per cent of meat pigs, and the majority of turkeys are reared in this way. The greatest financial cost in rearing animals intensively is their feed. Therefore it is most cost-effective for farmers to maximise ‘feed-conversion ratios’ – to get the largest output of meat, eggs or dairy from the smallest input of animal feed. Animals are predominantly fed standardised industrial feed, which is carefully formulated to promote maximum weight gain. Chickens, for example, reach slaughter size almost twice as quickly as they did 40 years ago.

Professor Michael Crawford of London Metropolitan University recently analysed chicken thigh meat from several supermarkets and organic suppliers and found them to contain more than twice as much fat, and around 100 kcal more, weight-for-weight, as they did back in 1940.

Modern beef was also found to contain 30 per cent fat, compared with the five per cent found in wild beef. Meat has taken the place of lower calorie foods, which were once staples of the national diet. And portion-for-portion, the fat content of meat has doubled. The number of surplus calories that can lead to weight gain if taken in repeatedly is surprisingly low. An excess of just 30 kcal per day in energy consumed, compared with energy required, will cause a weight gain of about one kilogram (2 lb) over a year.

In 1983, raised awareness of the changed composition of the national diet led to the introduction of quantified dietary targets for the UK population. The National Advisory Committee on Nutrition Education (NACNE) recommended that the nation's total fat intake be reduced to 30 per cent of calories and saturated fat reduced to 10 per cent of calories. (The national averages are still currently above this, with fat providing 35.4 per cent of calories and saturated fat contributing 25.3 per cent of calories.) Meat products were identified as a leading source (28 per cent of dietary fat) of invisible fat, mainly saturated. Butchers and food processors got into the practice of trimming off visible fat in response to consumer demand for leaner meat (see Figure 7). However, modern farming methods may have rendered the practices of trimming visible fat and removing skin from meat futile methods of eliminating dietary fat.

In 1983, raised awareness of the changed composition of the national diet led to the introduction of quantified dietary targets for the UK population. The National Advisory Committee on Nutrition Education (NACNE) recommended that the nation’s total fat intake be reduced to 30 per cent of calories and saturated fat reduced to 10 per cent of calories. (The national averages are still currently above this, with fat providing 35.4 per cent of calories and saturated fat contributing 13.3 per cent of calories.) Meat products were identified as a leading source (28 per cent of dietary fat) of invisible fat, mainly saturated. Butchers and food processors got into the practice of trimming off visible fat in response to consumer demand for leaner meat (see Figure 7). However, modern farming methods may have rendered the practices of trimming visible fat and removing skin from meat futile methods of eliminating dietary fat.
Despite these changing practices, the latest National Diet and Nutrition Survey\(^3\) reports that meat and meat products are still the main source of total dietary fat, providing just under a quarter (23 per cent) of the average national dietary fat intake. This means that despite advice encouraging us to opt for leaner cuts of meat, a mere five per cent reduction in our total fat intake from meat has been observed.

Professor Crawford states: “This whole focus on rapid growth [in intensive farming], achieved through a high-energy, cereal-based diet has changed the lipid composition of the chicken meat itself, and you cannot escape that – even by removing the skin and scraping away the subcutaneous fat stuck to the meat.”\(^{142}\)

The increased awareness of the need to cut down on fat, especially saturated fat, has also increased the demand for low-fat and skimmed milk over full-fat milk. Butter has been partly replaced by margarines and low-fat spreads which became more available in the 1980s and, instead, vegetable oil consumption has increased (see Figure 9).\(^{41}\)

European Commission subsidies

The Food Commission reports, however, that although consumers have reduced their purchases of butter to the lowest levels yet (1.2 million tonnes by the latest published figures) the European Commission purchased another 0.53 million tonnes.\(^{10}\) The EC operates a Butter for Manufacture scheme which aims to ‘dispose of surplus butterfat by encouraging manufacturers to use butter in manufactured products in preference to cheaper vegetable oils’. Under this scheme a subsidy is paid to food manufacturers on butter, butteroil and cream processed into certain eligible products, such as cakes, pastries, biscuits, ice cream and desserts.

Another Common Agricultural Policy scheme which is in operation is the Concentrated Butter for Direct Consumption scheme, which aims to ‘dispose of surplus butterfat by encouraging manufacturers to sell butteroil direct to the retail trade for direct consumption’, i.e. use in the home, hospitals, restaurants etc.\(^{32}\) The total now being bought by the EC amounts to nearly one-third of all butter produced, with 92 per cent of the surplus being sold off.\(^{33}\) This means that an additional half-a-million or so tonnes of butter appears to be entering the food chain in the form of both manufactured products and foods eaten in hospitals and restaurants.

The National Food Survey

Meat and meat products are the leading source of fat in the national diet. Milk and milk products (such as cheese and semi-skimmed milk) are not far behind, providing 14 per cent of total fat.

But fat — and commonly animal fat, in the form of butter and milk — is a major ingredient of cakes, pastries, biscuits and chocolate (see European Commission subsidies, above). Vegetable oils (often hydrogenated) are sometimes also used in these products (see Trans-unsaturated fatty acids, page 21). Perhaps it is unsurprising, then, that cereals and cereal products (especially manufactured products such as pizza, biscuits, buns, cakes and pastries) fall just below meat in the National Diet and Nutrition Survey fat hierarchy, making up just under one-fifth (19 per cent) of our national fat intake (see Figure 10).
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Are all fats created equal?

Fats and oils in the diet are largely made up of molecules called fatty acids, attached to the molecule glycerol. Three fatty acids combine with one molecule of glycerol to form so called ‘triglycerides’. The fatty acids can be of three major types – saturated, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated, depending on how many double bonds they contain. A certain type of unsaturated fatty acid – trans-unsaturated – is often considered separately because of its effects on health, and because it is largely created by the manufacturing process. Fat intake, per se, is implicated in the development of obesity and other associated conditions. All kinds of fat, whether unsaturated, monounsaturated or saturated provide the same amount of energy, and therefore curtail ing total fat intake is important as a means to preventing obesity.

The body cannot function without some fat – but it is eating the right kind of fat that is vital in terms of our overall. We have no dietary requirement for saturated fats, which are strongly linked with raised levels of total cholesterol, hardening of the arteries and heart disease. Evidence is also emerging that reducing total and saturated fat intakes could also lower the risk of breast and prostate cancer. Not all saturated fatty acids have the same effects. Those with the most cholesterol-raising properties are lauric acid (C12:0), myristic acid (C14:0), and palmitic acid (C16:0). These three fatty acids account for 60 to 70 per cent of the saturated fat in Western diets. Myristic acid is the most powerful cholesterol-raising saturated fatty acid. It can increase total cholesterol levels by 50 per cent more than palmitic acid. The major sources of myristic acid are butter, cream, whole milk and tropical oils. Milk-fat (from dairy cows) contains eight of which 1 to 4 per cent myristic acid and coconut and palm oils contain up to 18 per cent. Palmitic acid is the most common fatty acid in the human diet, and the main saturated fatty acid in both animal fats (including red meat, poultry and shellfish and palm oil.

Lauric acid is the least harmful of these three saturated fats, with around one-third less cholesterol-raising power than palmitic acid. It is the main saturated fatty acid in coconut and palm kernel oils (they contain around 48 per cent). As tropical oils do not feature heavily in our typical national diet, they do not contribute significantly to average national saturated fat intake. However, coconut is the chief source of energy for certain Polynesian populations. The habitual diets of the toll dwellers from both Pukapuka and Tokelau are high in saturated fat (primarily from coconut) but low in dietary cholesterol (found only in animal products) and sucrose (sugar). Tokelauans take in many more calories from coconut than the Pukapukans (63 per cent compared with 34 per cent) and so their intake of saturated fat is higher. As might be expected, Tokelauans have higher blood cholesterol levels. However, vascular disease is still uncommon in both populations.

Coconut flakes (rather than coconut oil) have been found to have lower harmful (LDL) cholesterol levels in people with moderately raised blood cholesterol. Coconut is a good source of soluble and insoluble dietary fibre, which have cholesterol lowering powers and which may explain this apparent paradox. Of course, processing coconut in order to produce coconut oil does strip away the protective fibre. Conversely, dairy products and meat contain no protective fibre yet remain the leading sources of harmful saturated fats in our national diet. As would be expected, vascular disease (the build up of lipids and other cells on the artery wall) is a common finding in those who consume the modern Western diet.

Trans-unsaturated fatty acids

Another type of fat, namely trans-unsaturated fatty acids, has also been shown to increase the risk of heart disease by raising harmful (LDL) cholesterol levels and lowering protective (HDL) cholesterol levels. This combined effect on LDL and HDL cholesterol is double that of saturated fatty acids. A recent review on the influence of trans fatty acids on health suggests that they are, gram for gram, associated with a two-and-a-half to tenfold higher risk of heart disease than saturated fat. No safe levels of trans fat consumption have been identified.

Trans fatty acids are often found in processed foods because fats containing these fatty acids can be prepared from liquid oils by an industrial process known as hydrogenation. The final product of this process is called hydrogenated vegetable oil, or hydrogenated fat. It is used in some biscuits, cakes, pasty, margarine and many processed foods. This means that foods that contain hydrogenated vegetable oil (which is always declared in the ingredients list) are likely to contain trans fats. Low levels of trans fatty acids are also found naturally in dairy products, lamb and beef fat, as small amounts of trans fat are produced in the gastrointestinal tract of ruminants.

High intakes of dietary cholesterol also increase harmful LDL cholesterol. Although saturated fat has 10 times the cholesterol-raising power of dietary cholesterol, restricting dietary cholesterol is of special importance for those who are genetically prone to hypercholesterolaemia. Dietary cholesterol is found only in the animal kingdom; even grilled skinless chicken breast contains 94 milligrams of cholesterol. Humans have no dietary need for cholesterol as the body can manufacture all that we require.

The fats which are essential to the diet are linoleic acid (C18:2, n-6) and alpha linolenic acid (C18:3, n-3). These essential fatty acids (EFAs) have an important structural function in cell membranes; are involved in regulating cholesterol metabolism (ie its transport, breakdown and excretion) and are precursors of prostaglandins, thromboxane, leukotrienes, and of longer chain fatty acids. Seed oils such as linseed (flax), rapeseed (canola) and walnut oil are rich sources, as are seeds and nuts themselves. Green leafy vegetables are also a source. One teaspoon of flaxseed oil or a handful of whole seeds and nuts (linseed, hempseed or walnuts) each day should provide most people with sufficient essential fatty acids.
As part of a healthy diet the Food Standards Agency recommends that we should try to reduce the amount of foods we eat that contain hydrogenated or saturated fats and replace them with unsaturated fats. The total amount of fat that we eat should also be reduced.

Figure 12 compares the fatty acid composition of butter and selected vegetable oils. Soya oil and sunflower oil are two vegetable oils that are typical of many vegetable oils in that they are low in saturates and high in polyunsaturates. The n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids usually predominate in vegetable oils. Olive oil and rapeseed oil are both particularly high in monounsaturates and low in saturates. The composition of palm oil shows that there are exceptions to this general observation that vegetable oils are low in saturates and high in polyunsaturates. Clearly then, the switch should be made from animal to vegetable sources of fat (though using tropical oils such as coconut and palm oil only sparingly). The use of all vegetable oils is recommended from animal to vegetable sources of fat (though using tropical oils such as coconut and palm oil only sparingly). The use of all vegetable oils is recommended.

The role of added sugars, sugary drinks and alcohol

There is growing evidence of the rise in consumption of sugar-rich drinks may be fuelling the increase in obesity. Experimental studies in which volunteers are given sugar-rich drinks compared to artificially sweetened drinks or water show that people fail to decrease their intake of calories at the next meal sufficiently to allow for the calories previously taken in from the drink. The overall effect is that sugar-rich drinks add to, rather than substitute, food intake and hence increase the risk of eating too much. This may be a particular problem for children, who are larger consumers than adults – soft drinks provide 26 per cent of the added sugars in the diet of four to 18 year olds, compared with 16 per cent for adults.

Raben and colleagues compared the effects of sugar-rich versus artificially sweetened foods and drinks (approximately 80 per cent were beverages) on body weight in a group of overweight volunteers. Modest (but significant) weight increases were seen in the group consuming the sugar-rich diet during the 10-week study, whereas the group making use of artificially sweetened foods showed a modest weight loss. As previously commented, an excess of just 30 kcal per day in calories consumed, compared with calories required, can cause a weight gain of about one kilogram (two pounds) over a year. 30 kcal could be obtained by drinking just one quarter of a can of cola.

Although carbohydrate-rich foods with a low fibre content are typically less satisfying than similar products with a high fibre content (see Fibre and satiety), the significance of this for weight regulation is unclear. The CARMEN trial was the first intervention trial to specifically investigate the role of simple sugars in the development of obesity. The trial, which was carried out in five European centres, compared the weight-loss effects of two low-fat, high-carbohydrate diets – one rich in simple carbohydrates, and the other rich in complex carbohydrates. Subjects were allowed to eat freely during the six months of the study. A modest weight loss was seen in both groups of low-fat dieters, showing that it is favourable to replace dietary fat with carbohydrates, although no significant difference was seen between the two low-fat high-carbohydrate groups.

However, an intervention trial in Cambridge by Poppitt et al. saw a significantly greater weight loss in low-fat high complex carbohydrate dieters (who also tended to see a decrease in total cholesterol). Although those following a low-fat high-sugar diet did not lose significant amounts of weight, importantly this group did not gain weight, despite the free eating (ad libitum) nature of the diet used in the Cambridge trial. The evidence then, suggests that replacing dietary fat with carbohydrates – preferably complex carbohydrates – may be a favourable strategy for weight loss.

The evidence regarding the effect of alcohol consumption on weight gain is somewhat conflicting. Alcohol is much more calorie dense than carbohydrate (seven kcal per gram compared with four kcal per gram) and alcoholic drinks frequently contain – or are served with drinks which contain – added sugar. As previously commented, total recorded alcohol consumption in the UK has doubled between 1960 and 2002. Like soft drinks, alcoholic drinks do not appear to displace calories from food. That said, the Health Survey for England indicated that non-drinkers are more likely to be obese than those who consume alcohol. Most of the current knowledge on alcohol’s effect on weight is based on observational population studies, where confounding effects are possible. It cannot be ruled out, for example, that smoking tobacco at the same time as drinking alcohol could instead be responsible for the ‘slimming’ effect attributed to it.

Glycaemic index and glycaemic load

The term glycaemic index (GI) describes the blood sugar (glucose) elevating potential of a food. Values over 90 are generally considered high, meaning that foods with such values quickly release their natural sugars into the bloodstream. The foods that we evolved to eat would typically have had a lower GI of between 40 and 80. The exact rise in blood sugar that is experienced depends on both the GI of the food and the amount of carbohydrate that it contains. The glycaemic load (GL) calculation takes into account both of these considerations. High GL foods quickly release their natural sugars into the bloodstream, whereas low GL foods release their natural sugars slowly and evenly, unlike the quick hit provided by sugary snacks. Subtle changes in the food supply over the past few decades (see Food processing, page 25) have led to an abundance of more highly processed, high GI cereal products in place of traditionally processed grains. Less-processed foods are more likely to contain slowly digested carbohydrates, as the sugars they contain still have the protection of bran and other barriers which are removed in processing.

Some scientists have warned against the fattening properties of foods with a high GI such as some types of potatoes, white bread, bagels and white rice and instead advise people to eat more wholesome products, and types of rice and potatoes characterised by a low GI. The proponents of the GI hypothesis suggest that high GI foods produce rapid and transient surges in blood glucose and insulin which are in turn followed by rapidly returning hunger sensations and excessive calorie intake.

Low GI foods are beneficial for glycaemic control in diabetics and have a beneficial effect on cardiovascular risk factors but their effect on body weight regulation is controversial. A scientific literature review looked at published human intervention studies which compared the effects of high and low GI foods or diets on appetite, food intake, energy expenditure and body weight. Out of a total of 31 short-term studies (less than one day’s duration), 15 indicated that low GI foods were associated with greater satiety or reduced hunger, whereas reduced satiety or no differences were seen in the 16 other studies. Low GI foods reduced ad libitum food intake in seven studies, but not in eight other studies. In 20 longer-term studies (less than six months’ duration), a weight loss on a low GI diet was seen in four and on a high GI diet in two, but 14 others recorded no difference. At present, then, there is no evidence that low GI foods are superior to high GI foods with regard to long-term body weight control.

However, as foods which quickly release their natural sugars into the bloodstream can decrease levels of protective HDL cholesterol and increase levels of dangerous VLDL and LDL cholesterol, and are linked with increased risk of diabetes and heart disease, glycaemic load does warrant consideration. Glucose itself has the ability to damage blood vessel (vascular) cells.
Those who are overweight or carrying abdominal fat, diabetic, physically inactive and/or following a low-fat diet should pay particular attention to limiting GL. This can be achieved by choosing foods that have a relatively low GL per calorie. Pasta and dense chewy breads such as pumpernickel can lower GL per calorie than most grain products, and the GL of lentils and beans is lower still.1 Bread has a high GL. Although other components in wholemeal bread are associated with reduced risk of heart disease and strokes.196 Refined, processed foods should be avoided. Appendix 3 compares the GL per calorie of some common plant foods.

Energy density and satiety

Energy density refers to the amount of calories that different foods contain per weight (kcal per 100 g). Energy dense foods and energy dense diets have been blamed for the global obesity epidemic17, 178, 179, 180, 181. Traditional African diets containing approximately 108 kcal per 100 g probably represent the levels at which human weight regulatory mechanisms have evolved.199 The average British diet is almost fifty percent more energy dense than this, containing approximately 160 kcal per 100 g.199 Most plant foods (boiled grains, lentils or beans, raw fruits and vegetables) provide under 120 kcal per 100 g and most fruits and vegetables provide much less than this.196

Laboratory studies suggest that energy-dense foods (foods high in calories but low in bulk) are less filling and may result in passive overeating and therefore weight gain.196 Human observational studies suggest that diets like these also tend to be nutrient poor.201

Out of fat, protein and carbohydrate, fat is both the most energy dense (nine kcal/g) and the least filling (satisfying) of all.202 In order to achieve a feeling of fullness, a larger amount of a fat-rich carbohydrate diet must be eaten compared to a low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet. Research has shown that when people are offered foods which have been secretly manipulated to alter the fat content, they eat far more energy when the meal is high in fat than when offered an apparently similar low-fat meal.202 The body appears not to recognise that it is eating more calories and weight gradually increases. Conversely on a low-fat (less calorie dense) diet, despite eating as much as they wished and never feeling hungry, subjects have managed to lose weight.202

Fibre and satiety

Whilst the importance of complex carbohydrates in appetite control warrants further study, fibre in foods has long been regarded as a useful tool for feelings of fullness.202 It brings this in many ways – more chewing is required for a fibre-rich food; it digests, and spends more time in the stomach; and, because it also tends to create a low glycaemic index,202 it leads to the slow, steady release of nutrients.202 Prolonged chewing reduces the rate of eating, which means that there is longer for the body to acknowledge that food is being ingested and to curb food intake appropriately. The distension of stretch receptors in the stomach also initiates feelings of fullness. A review carried out in 2001 showed that every 14 grams of extra fibre in the diet reduces caloric intake by 10 per cent.202

High-protein diets

Data suggests that protein is the most filling nutrient of all196, 203 and has been credited with helping to curb hunger in those following high-protein diets. This has not been tested objectively, and alternative explanations such as hunger and ketosis may also contribute.203 While a few recent studies have observed that high-protein, carbohydrate-restricted diets can bring about modest short-term weight loss,204 the long-term health consequences of following such diets in order to lose weight have not yet been investigated. Most of these diets contain less than 10 per cent carbohydrate, 25 to 35 per cent protein, and 55 to 65 per cent fat.204 Because the protein is provided mainly by animal sources, these diets are high in saturated fatty acids and cholesterol, and could perhaps more aptly be renamed ‘high-fat’ diets.204

429 individuals following such a high-protein, high-fat, carbohydrate-restricted diet voluntarily logged their diet associated hunger, satiety and appetite levels.205 Common findings included constipation, loss of energy, bad breath, difficulty concentrating, kidney problems, and heart-related problems, including heart attack, bypass surgery, arrhythmias and elevated blood cholesterol levels.205

Dairy and weight loss

Research by Professor Zemel of the University of Tennessee Nutrition Institute has suggested that the consumption of dairy products may help people lose weight.206 His research compared weight loss in subjects consuming a diet high in dairy products with subjects taking in an identical number of calories but with a reduced amount of dairy. As weight loss was greater in the high dairy group, Professor Zemel suggests that calcium from dairy foods might affect fat cell metabolism in a way that promotes weight loss. However, no evidence that a diet high in dairy products promotes weight loss was found in a subsequent study which included Professor Zemel (but not as the first named author).206

A scientific literature review was carried out by researchers at the University of British Columbia in Vancouver, Canada, to look into the effects of dairy products or calcium supplements on body weight. Of nine studies on dairy products, seven showed no significant differences in weight, while two studies linked dairy consumption with weight gain.181 Additionally, just one of 17 studies on calcium supplementation reported weight loss.

A recent study involving 12,000 children over a three-year period found that those who drank the most milk gained the most weight.196

Other societal conditions promoting passive over consumption

Food processing

As previously commented, processing often raises the GI of a food, by removing bran and other barriers (and many valuable nutrients) which protect the sugars within. Processed foods can contain the visible fat trimmed from carcass meat products; and the use of butter, butteroil and cream in cakes, pastries, biscuits, ice cream and desserts is subsidised under the EC butter for Manufacture scheme. Highly-caloric fat is a major ingredients of cakes, pastries, biscuits and chocolate, hence cereals and cereal products now account for 19 per cent of our national fat intake. Trans fatty acids can also be found in some processed foods such as biscuits, cakes, pastrys and margarine. Human observational studies suggest that diets rich in processed foods tend to be nutrient poor. Laboratory studies suggest that they are less filling, resulting in passive overeating and therefore weight gain.

However, food processing is very profitable to the food industry. Potatoes, for example, are inexpensive and there is little profit to be made from selling them. But there is much more profit to be made after a modest (low-cost) labour input to transform the potatoes into crisps.207 Says Dr Linda Bacon, lecturer at City College of San Francisco: “Because of this profit incentive, the food industry plays a large role in promoting overeating and eating processed foods, which typically are much less nutrient dense than unprocessed foods…” The food industry employs two practices: encouraging us to eat more food in general and to eat more processed food. They also act behind the scenes to influence media, non-profit organisations, health care and nutrition professionals, researchers, and government agencies.196

Fast foods

A typical fast food meal has a very high energy density (ie is very high in calories but low in bulk). It is more than one-and-a-half times more dense than an average traditional English meal and two-and-a-half times denser in calories than a traditional African meal.208 Typical ‘meal-deals’ in well-known fast-food outlets provide well in excess of a teenage girl’s entire daily energy and fat needs.196

Professor Andrew Prentice, Head of the UK Medical Research Council International Nutrition Group, believes that diets high in fast foods could be particularly disadvantageous for children, whom, “have not yet developed any of the learned dietary restraint that needs to be exerted by anyone wishing to remain slim in the modern environment.”209

A study recently published in the American Journal of Preventative Medicine investigated the health impact if fast food users switched from beef-based hamburgers to plant-based burgers. It was concluded that the consumption of 100 billion McDonald’s beef burgers instead of the same company’s McVeggie burgers would provide an additional 550 million pounds of saturated fat and 2 billion pounds of fat, as well as one billion fewer pounds of fibre, 660 million fewer pounds of protein, and no difference in calories.209 The McVeggie burger was therefore seen as a less harmful fast-food choice.209

The practise by some fast food companies of introducing healthier options has been welcomed by Dr Susan Jebb, Head of Nutrition and Health Research at the Medical Research Council Human Nutrition Research Centre in Cambridge: “Fast food companies could play a major part in halting the rising obesity epidemic. Suppose that they included more vegetables in their meals. We could then cut back on the meat, which is the most energetically dense component of many fast food meals.”209

Dr Jebb adds: “Many supermarket ready-meals and convenience foods are also very energy dense. If we’re going to stem the tide of obesity, it’s important that we don’t just swap one unhealthy meal for another. Research has shown time and again that to maintain a healthy weight, we need to eat foods with less fat and added sugars and to take more exercise.”209

Socio-economic trends in obesity

Obesity is most common amongst those with more limited financial means and manual labourers. Developments in farming and food technology have made added sugars and vegetable oils accessible globally at a remarkably low cost. Lower-income households tend to select diets high in low-cost, inexpensive grains, added sugars and added fats196, 197, 198, 199, 200 and their fruit and vegetable expenditures tend to be low.210 One interpretation of this data is that fruit and vegetables are not considered a priority and low-income households choose to spend their limited resources on items that are perceived to be more essential such as meat, clothing, or rent.210 Human observational studies however suggest that such low-cost calorie dense diets tend to be poor in nutrients.210
The common perception of healthy food as expensive seems a barrier to healthier eating. In supermarkets, it is convenience foods which are heavily price-promoted and there are numerous special offers on these sorts of food compared with relatively fewer price promotions on raw foods or ‘ingredients’. In addition, healthy convenience foods are frequently costly and it is only the more wealthy who can afford them.

However, studies have demonstrated the enormous potential to construct a healthy diet using inexpensive products. Dry foods with a stable shelf life such as beans actually provide more protein at lower prices than perishable meats, fish or dairy products, and unlike the latter foods, they are also a valuable source of fibre.

The food industry is putting out a clear message, so what about the message being put out by the UK Government? One scheme in operation is the 5 A Day Programme, which aims to increase the average consumption of fruit and vegetables in the UK to the recommended level of around five portions a day. It has made use of television and radio advertising, leaflets, posters, booklets, a web site and magazine adverts and articles and has local and national advertising, leaflets, posters, booklets, a web site and magazine adverts and articles.

Nearly two million children aged four to six years receive a free piece of fruit or vegetable each school day under its School Fruit and Vegetable Scheme. Over a quarter of children and their families have reported eating more fruit at home after joining the scheme – including those in lower socio-economic groups. Clearly then, the eating habits of all socio-economic groups are open to change; it is simply a matter of sending out the message of what constitutes a healthy diet more clearly and loudly.

Changes in levels of physical activity

Adults
The rising trend in obesity seems to correspond with a decline in physical activity and a rise in sedentary behaviour. The level of physical activity has fallen considerably in the last 50 years – the technological revolution has meant that physical activity is no longer an essential part of daily life. Large shifts towards less physically demanding work are being seen worldwide, and moves towards less physical activity include the increasing use of the car and wider car ownership; mechanised tools; labour-saving devices; an increase in energy-saving devices in public places – such as escalators, lifts and automatic doors; warmer dwellings and more passive leisure pursuits. Leisure time is dominated by television, videos and computers. The average person in England watched over 26 hours of television a week in the mid-1990s, compared with 13 hours in the 1960s.

It has been estimated that the extra physical activity involved in daily living 50 years ago, compared with today, is the equivalent of running a marathon a week.

There is increasing evidence that many people are not taking sufficient exercise to significantly benefit their health. As stated earlier, before the technological revolution, people typically walked at least five to 10 miles a day, expending an extra 500 to 1,000 kcal. Nowadays, approximately two-thirds of men and three-quarters of women fail to meet the Department of Health’s physical activity target of 30 minutes five times per week.

The estimated cost of physical inactivity in England is around £2 billion per year and each 10 per cent increase in activity across the population has a potential gain of £50 million.

Children
For many children, energy expenditure both at school and at home are significantly lower than for previous generations. The National Diet and Nutrition Survey (2000) showed that most young people aged between seven and 18 were inactive, as indicated by time spent in moderate or vigorous intensity activities.

In the 1970s, 90 per cent of primary school children in the UK walked to school, compared with 10 per cent today. Despite academic studies stressing that children learn the critical lifelong motivation to take part in recreational sport primarily between the ages of seven and 10, less than half of English children received the Government’s target of two hours per week of PE in school in 2002. In the UK amongst children in particular, sedentary activities such as computer use and TV viewing have all risen dramatically.

Recommendations
Low daily physical activity is a risk factor for weight gain and one to two short weekly walks are insufficient compensation. It is perhaps obvious to suggest that becoming more active will help weight control by using up calories. People who exercise the most are repeatedly shown to be the least likely to be obese. More importantly, people who are the most physically active gain less weight as they get older than those who are sedentary.

The International Association for the Study of Obesity considers that, given modern environmental factors, 20 minutes of moderate exercise may be insufficient for those who take more than 1,250 steps per day are likely to be classified as ‘highly active’. It is recognised that 10,000 steps per day is likely to be too low for young people, who are recommended to accumulate 11,000 steps (for girls) or 13,000 steps (for boys) at least five days per week for a standard healthy base.

Increasing activity levels would contribute to the prevention and management of over 20 conditions and diseases including heart disease, diabetes, cancer, positive mental health and weight management. Increasing activity levels would also beneficially affect musculo-skeletal health, reducing the risk of osteoporosis, back pain and osteoarthritis.

The Chief Medical Officer recommends that: “Children and young people should achieve a total of at least 60 minutes of at least moderate-intensity physical activity each day. At least twice a week this should include activities to improve bone health (activities that produce high physical stresses on the bones), muscle strength and flexibility.”

The recommendation for adults to maintain good health is: “A total of at least 30 minutes a day of at least moderate-intensity physical activity on five or more days of the week.” This can be achieved either by doing all of the daily activity in one session, or in several shorter bouts of activity lasting 10 minutes or longer. Older people are also advised to keep moving and to maintain their mobility through daily activity. Activities geared at improving strength, coordination and balance are highly advocated.

10,000 steps per day
A recommendation of 10,000 steps per day has gained popularity with the media. A fair degree of similarity has been found between this recommended level and current public health guidelines, if walking is the main activity mode.

Individuals who accumulate at 10,000 steps per day have less body fat and lower blood pressure than their less active counterparts.

Based on currently available evidence, Tudor-Locke and colleagues propose the following cut-off bands to assess physical activity levels in healthy adults, based on the use of a pedometer to count steps (see Figure 13): (i) less than 5,000 steps per day may be used as a ‘sedentary lifestyle index’; (ii) 5,000 to 7,499 steps per day might be considered ‘low active’ and is typical of daily activity excluding sports or exercise; (iii) 7,500 to 9,999 steps per day might be considered ‘somewhat active’; and (iv) 10,000 steps per day or above can be used to classify people as ‘active’. Those who take more than 1,250 steps per day are likely to be classified as ‘highly active’. It is recognised that 10,000 steps per day is likely to be too low for young people, who are recommended to accumulate 11,000 steps (for girls) or 13,000 steps (for boys) at least five days per week for a standard healthy base.

Campaigns to encourage pedometer use, combined with clear guidelines such as these may have a useful role in raising awareness of how much physically activity people take in their day-to-day lives, and hence provide vital inspiration to increase physical activity.

Globalisation and the rise of globesity
Obesity is seldom seen among people who traditionally live on a diet with moderate fat content and a high content of relatively low calorie vegetables and wholmeal products. Human weight regulatory mechanisms probably evolved at an energy-density of about only 10 kcal per 100g.

However, as is the case in the West, the diets in developing countries are also changing with rising incomes. The share of staples, such as cereals, roots and tubers, is declining, while that of meat, dairy products and oil crops is rising. Meat consumption in developing countries rose by 150 per cent per capita between 1966-1946 and 1997-1999. During the same period, milk and dairy consumption increased by 60 per cent.

Jeremy Rifkin, president of the Foundation on Economic Trends in Washington DC, USA and author of Beyond Beef: The Rise and Fall of the Castle Culture (Plume, 1992), and The Biotech Century (Vector Gollancz, 1998), reports that as developing countries become richer, enlarging their meat supply seems to be a main priority: “They start with chicken and egg production and, as their economies grow, climb the protein ladder to pork, milk, and dairy products, then to grass-fed beef and finally to grain-fed beef. Encouraging this process advances the interests of the agribusinesses and two-thirds of the grain exported from the USA goes to feed livestock.”

Dr. David Brubaker, director of the Henry Spira/GRACE Project on Industrial Animal Production, Centre for a Liveable Future, Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, USA, comments: “In the developing world, the share of grain fed to livestock has tripled since mid-century and now stands at 21 per cent. This percentage is likely to grow further as developing nations strive to emulate the
model of industrial nations, where nearly 70 per cent of grain is fed to livestock. ""

Famine, however, is still widespread across the globe. An acre of cereal produces five times more protein than an acre used for meat production; legumes such as beans, peas and lentils can produce 10 times more protein and, in the case of soya, 30 times more.  

The adoption of Western dietary habits has led to a paradox where extremes of under-nutrition now co-exist with overweight and obesity which affects up to 35 per cent of the adult population in some of the poorest countries of the world.  

Ironically, reverting to our roots of a whole foods, plant-based diet rich in the grains, vegetables and legumes increasingly fed to animals, combined with moderate physical activity can be the keys to both weight maintenance and improved health.
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### APPENDIX 1

#### Assessing weight in children

**International cut-off points for body mass index (BMI) for overweight and obesity in children**

International obesity cut-off points for BMI for overweight and obesity by gender between 2 and 12 years, derived to pass through BMI index of 25 and 30 kg/m² at age 18, obtained by averaging data from Brazil, Great Britain, Hong Kong, Netherlands, Singapore and United States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI 25 kg/m²</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>BMI 30 kg/m²</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### APPENDIX 2

#### Chemical characteristics and atherogenicity of major fatty acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acids</th>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Atherogenicity</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fatty acids (SAFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauric acid</td>
<td>C₁₂:₀</td>
<td>Ⅰ</td>
<td>Coconut oil 48%, palm oil 48% and butter fat 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristic acid</td>
<td>C₁₄:₀</td>
<td>ⅠⅠ</td>
<td>Most potent cholesterol-raising SAFA. Coconut oil 18%, palm kernel oil 18%, beef fat 18%, animal fat 1%-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic acid</td>
<td>C₁₆:₀</td>
<td>Ⅰ</td>
<td>Most common and reference standard of SAFA. Palm oil 45%, butter fat 26%, beef fat 26%, mutton fat 24%, chicken fat 23%, pork fat 25%, cocoa butter 26%, coconut oil 9% and palm kernel oil 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td>C₁₈:₀</td>
<td>Ⅲ</td>
<td>Rises HDL level without raising LDL level. Butter fat 13%, beef fat 22%, mutton fat 25%, chicken fat 6%, pork fat 12%, cocoa butter 35%, coconut oil 3% and palm oil 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans fatty acids (TRAFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ⅰ</td>
<td>Increases Lp(a), TC, small, dense LDL levels. Decreases HDL level: 3-fold increase in cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaidic acid</td>
<td>C₁₈:₁ n-9 trans</td>
<td>ⅠⅠ</td>
<td>Fried food, crispy food, cakes, biscuits, donuts, pizza, reused frying oils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
<td>C₁₈:₁ n-9</td>
<td>Ⅲ</td>
<td>Butter fat 28%, beef fat 39%, mutton fat 33%, chicken fat 42%, pork fat 45%, cocoa butter 35%, coconut oil 7%, palm kernel oil 1%, and palm oil 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 Polyunsaturated fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid</td>
<td>C₁₈:₂ n-6</td>
<td>Ⅲ</td>
<td>Predominant PUFA in western diets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-Linolenic acid (ALNA)</td>
<td>C₁₈:₃ n-3</td>
<td>Ⅲ</td>
<td>Precursor to EPA and DHA. Flaxseed oil 50%, rapeseed (canola) oil 10%, mustard oil 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA)</td>
<td>C₂₀:₅ n-3</td>
<td>Ⅲ</td>
<td>Fatty fish (sardines, mackerel, salmon), plant algae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoic acid</td>
<td>C₂₂:₆ n-3</td>
<td>Ⅲ</td>
<td>Fatty fish (sardines, mackerel, salmon), plant algae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX 3

Glycaemic load of common plant foods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food load</th>
<th>Energy (per 1000 calories)</th>
<th>Glycaemic (per 100 grams)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar, brown</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar, white</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice, white</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banana, ripe</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice, brown</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread, wholemeal</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread, white</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oranges</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta, wholemeal</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta, white</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread, pumpernickel</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banana, underripe</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherries</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentils</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomatoes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cashews</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avocados</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almonds</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazel nuts</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>